
Trans Mountain Expansion Project Socio-Economic Monitoring Report - BC Interior Region





Introduction

This report presents the quarterly socio-economic monitoring results for the BC Interior Region related to construction of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (TMEP or the Project). The monitoring approach and indicators are discussed in detail in the TMEP Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (SEEMP) approved by the Canadian Energy Regulator (CER) pursuant to CER Condition 13 (see link below). This report presents the Project-specific indicators; these are data that are directly attributable to the Project, reflect the Project's contribution to socio-economic outcomes, and help pinpoint Project actions that may require change. Please refer to Annex 1 - Socio-Economic Context, BC Interior for the regional context indicators that reflect the broader socio-economic conditions in which Project construction is occurring.

Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (CER Condition 13)

(the above links to the CER website where the SEEMP is filed)

For more information contact: info@transmountain.com or 1-866-514-6700

Project-Specific Indicators

Quantitative Indicators

SEEMP Indicator No.	. Indicator	Monthly Total			Quarterly Count	% (where applicable)	Threshold Triggered?	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken		
		Oct-22	Nov-22	Dec-22		(where applicable)	(Y/N)			
N/A	Total calendar days of construction site work during the reporting cycle	30	24	30	84	N/A		N/A		
	Workers on site per day (average)	955	1221	776	967	N/A		IV/A		
1 Number of worker-days in field (categorized in two ways: by geographic origin, and also by Indigenous v. non-Indigenous status)										
	Threshold for Action: Number of non-local/regional workers by region/spread is +/- 20% different than estimated in Worker Accommodation Strategy CER Condition 59									
	Total Worker-days	28638	29305	23267	81210	100%	N/A	N/A		
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional residents	9994	9864	7539	27397	34%				
	b) Worker-days, Other (workers not Local or Regional residents)	18644	19441	15728	53813	66%				
	c) Indigenous	3163	3162	2417	8742	11%				
	d) Non-Indigenous	25475	26143	20850	72468	89%				
2 Number of worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers)										
	Threshold for Action: Less than 75% of non-local/regional workers are staying in camps and qualitative feed	dback received abo	out adverse issue o	r concern from loc	al authority or tourism ,	/hotel associatio	on representative.	F		
	Total Worker-days	28638	29305	23267	81210	100%	- N	N/A		
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home	2624	2843	2499	7966	10%				
	b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)	23026	23635	18494	65155	80%				
	c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps	2988	2827	2274	8089	10%				
3 Living out allowance or related stipend										
Threshold for Action: An increase in the number of worker-days paid (indicator 3a) from the previous reporting cycle and any qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities or tourism /hotel associations.										
	a) Number of worker-days paid	20841	27048	23804	71693	N/A	N	N/A		
	b) Total value (\$)	\$ 5,340,041	\$ 4,929,894	\$ 6,180,567	\$ 16,450,502	N/A				
5	Number of worker-days, in field - anticipated in next business quarter Threshold for Action: N/A - this is a forward indicator to assist stakeholders and Indigenous groups in community readiness.									
	a) January 2023	N/A			31972	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	b) February 2023				28013	N/A				
	c) March 2023				35516	N/A				

SEEMP Indicator No.	Indicator	Monthly Total		Quarterly Count	% (where applicable)	Threshold Triggered?	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken				
	1		Nov-22	Dec-22		where applicable)	(Y/N)				
6	Number of motor vehicle collisions or reportable incidents that involve Project vehicles on public roads during work hours or Contractor-owned vehicles during off-work hours Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold. All incidents/accidents will be investigated.										
	Total	3	5	1	9	N/A		N/A			
	a) Number involving injuries	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A				
	b) Number involving fatalities	0	0	0	0	N/A					
7	Workers transported from staging areas per day (average)							<u> </u>			
-	eshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a % of total workers on site (average during the month of the count) is less than 75%.										
	Mid-month count	872	786	920	859	N/A		N/A			
	End-month count	841	866	41	583	N/A	N				
	Average	857	826	481	721	N/A					
8	Personal vehicles in staging area per day (average) Threshold for Action: Number is 10% or more of AADT values at locations nearest to staging yards and qualitative feedback received about adverse traffic volume issues via indicators #11 and #12.										
	Mid-month count	594	582	569	582	N/A	N	N/A			
	End-month count	575	630	6	404	N/A					
	Average	585	606	288	493	N/A					
9	Number of times a "captive" Project worker was referred to a local health facility or required emergency medical transport ("Captive" workers on a Project premises, i.e., in camp or on work-site)										
9	Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback from local health authority regarding capacity concerns.										
	a) Total number of times a worker was referred or sent to local health facilities	1	3	1	5	N/A		N/A			
	i. urgent	1	1	1	3	N/A					
	ii. non-urgent	0	2	0	2	N/A					
	iii. occupational	0	2	1	3	N/A					
	iv. non-occupational	1	1	0	2	N/A					
	b) Total number of times a worker required ambulance or other emergency transport	0	1	0	1	N/A					
10	Number of medical visits to Project on-site medical facilities										
	line in the second s										
	Total	1	38	36	75	N/A		N/A			
	a) occupational	0	4	3	7	N/A	N				
	b) non-occupational	1	34	33	68	N/A					
Number of people affected by a notifiable disease in a work camp Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold; all notifiable diseases will be reported to the local health authority											
	Total	0	1	6	7	N/A	N/A	N/A			
		,	÷	÷	,						

Qualitative Indicators

SEEMP Indicator No.		Threshold Triggered? Y/N	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken							
4	Qualitative feedback about Project use of local/regional commercial accommodation (e.g., hotels, campgrounds) Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities, tourism/hotel associations or hotels used by Contractor.									
	None	N	N/A							
11	Number and types of calls/complaints received through Threshold for Action: There is no threshold for action as a									
	Month	Number, originating from the region	Common Socio-Eco	nomic Impact Themes During the Quarter						
	Oct-22	Total: 1 % Indigenous: 0 % Non-Indigenous: 100	Sensory Disturbance - Dust near Saddleback Drive in Kamloops	Trans Mountain will follow up on dust complaints to confirm their relation to Project activity. Trans Mountain is working to ensure compliance with bylaws and requirements. Trans Mountain will work with stakeholders to resolve and address such complaints where practical. In this instance, dust mitigation measures were deployed even though Saddleback Drive was not being used by the Project at the time.	1					
	Dec-22	Total: 2 % Indigenous: 0 % Non-Indigenous: 100	Traffic/Driving - Project-related speed zone in Black Pines; traffic signage placement on Coldwater Road.	Trans Mountain will continue to follow up on any traffic- related concerns. Contractors have a Traffic Management Plan that addresses public protection measures, access roads/routes to the construction site and Traffic Control Plans for each work zone which consider potential impacts with mitigation. Trans Mountain follows up with the contractor to ensure traffic-related requirements are being met. Each complaint is examined in order to provide additional information and/or appropriate follow-up. For example, construction speed zone was reduced in Black Pines. Traffic signage along Coldwater Road was investigated by the traffic team to confirm appropriate signage placement.						
12	Qualitative feedback themes about community concerns and experienced social effects Threshold for Action: Adverse socio-economic issue or concern from an appropriate government authority or service provider or community organization.									
	-	icern from an appropriate government authority of Impact Overview		rans Mountain Response	Threshold Triggered? Y/N	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken				
	Environmental concern (Whispering Pines Clinton Indian Band)	Hole in fence which may impact cattle	Trans Mountain fixed the fence		Y	Trans Mountain put up panels to fix the fence.				
13	Qualitative feedback themes about effects on the quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest resources, within the Project footprint Threshold for Action: Qualitative from Indigenous group leader/administrator regarding reports of adverse effects on quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest their resources or access cultural sites, with the Project footprint.									
	None	N/A	N/A							

Methodology and Notes

- Information in this report was collected through internal sources including labour and incident data from Contractors, TMEP feedback line data, and the review of stakeholder and Indigenous engagement records. Data reflects activity related to TMEP con struction only. Construction activity reduced in December due to holiday break.

- A worker-day is defined as 10 hours of work, by a construction Contractor on-site or in an on-site office.

- The monthly data cut-off is typically the last Saturday of each month; this reporting cycle was September 25, 2022 - December 31, 2022.

- Thresholds are internally assessed on a monthly basis and are considered triggered if a threshold is exceeded for any given month within each quarterly reporting cycle.

- The threshold analysis of Indicator 1 utilizes the estimate of non-local/regional workers estimated in the Worker Accommodation Strategy (WAS) CER Condition 59, based on the corresponding month of construction in an ordered manner. For example, Month 1 predicted in the WAS is compared to Month 1 actual.

- For the threshold analysis for Indicator 1, the estimate of non-local/regional workers estimated in the WAS finished in March 2021. Therefore, as of April 2021 the threshold analysis for Indicator 1 is N/A.

- Indicator 5 includes active Construction contractors only.

- Indicator 11 tallies feedback line calls/queries related to actual socio-economic impact topics related to TMEP construction activity. Socio-economic topics include: access limitations; road closures; business impacts; pressure on housing/accommodations; burden on infrastructure/services; sensory disturbance; traffic and driving; worker conduct; traditional use and cultural sites.

- Employment and business opportunity and training indicators are monitored and reported through separate processes, as per distinct CER Conditions (CER Condition 107 - Aboriginal, Local and Regional Employment and Business Opportunity Monitoring Reports; and CER Condition 58 - Training and Education Monitoring Reports).

- Individual numbers may be rounded to allow for totals to add up.

- Indicator 5 includes active Construction contractors only.

- Data may be subject to revisions based on updates from Contractors or ongoing quality reviews.