Trans Mountain Expansion Project

Socio-Economic Monitoring Report - Coquihalla Hope Region April to June 2022



Introduction

This report presents the quarterly socio-economic monitoring results for the Coquihalla Hope Region related to construction of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (TMEP or the Project). The monitoring approach and indicators are discussed in detail in the TMEP Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (SEEMP) approved by the Canadian Energy Regulator (CER) pursuant to CER Condition 13 (see link below). This report presents the Project-specific indicators; these are data that are directly attributable to the Project, reflect the Project's contribution to socio-economic outcomes, and help pinpoint Project actions that may require change. Please refer to Annex 1 - Socio-Economic Context, Coquihalla Hope for the regional context indicators that reflect the broader socio-economic conditions in which Project construction is occurring.

Trans Mountain's first priority has and will always be the health and safety of our workforce, their families and our communities. In response to the evolving COVID-19 pandemic, Trans Mountain and our construction contractors for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project have been working diligently together to ensure adherence to all advice and direction from government and health officials both provincially and federally.

Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (CER Condition 13)

(the above links to the CER website where the SEEMP is filed)

For more information contact: info@transmountain.com or 1-866-514-6700

Project-Specific Indicators

Quantitative Indicators

SEEMP Indicator No.	Indicator	Monthly Total			Quarterly Count	% (where	Threshold Triggered?	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken
		Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22		applicable)	(Y/N)	
N/A	Total calendar days of construction site work during the reporting cycle	30	24	24	78	N/A		N/A
N/A	Workers on site per day (average)	1263	1527	1928	1549	N/A		
1	Number of worker-days in field (categorized in two ways: by geographic origin, and also by Indigenous v. non-Indigenous status) Threshold for Action: Number of non-local/regional workers by region/spread is +/- 20% different than estimated in Worker Accommodation Strategy CER Condition 59							
	Total Worker-days	37891	36638	46283	120812	100%		N/A
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional residents	8220	8092	7616	23928	20%		
	b) Worker-days, Other (workers not Local or Regional residents)	29671	28546	38667	96884	80%	N/A	
	c) Indigenous	3493	3272	3667	10432	9%		
	d) Non-Indigenous	34398	33366	42616	110380	91%		
2	Number of worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers) Threshold for Action: Less than 75% of non-local/regional workers are staying in camps and qualitative feedback received about adverse issue or concern from local authority or tourism /hotel association representative.							
	Total Worker-days	37891	36638	46283	120812	100%		N/A
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home	4770	4562	4365	13697	11%		
	b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)	26193	26128	35235	87556	72%	N	
	c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps	6928	5948	6683	19559	16%		
3	Living out allowance or related stipend Threshold for Action: An increase in the number of worker-days paid (indicator 3a) from the previous reporting cycle and any qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities or tourism /hotel associations.							
	a) Number of worker-days paid	15884	13698	20320	49902	N/A	N	N/A
	b) Total value (\$)	\$ 4,673,500	\$ 4,285,657	\$ 5,404,005	\$ 14,363,162	N/A		
5	Number of worker-days, in field - anticipated in next business quarter Threshold for Action: N/A - this is a forward indicator to assist stakeholders and Indigenous groups in community readiness.							
	a) July 2022	N/A			25981	N/A	N/A	N/A
	b) August 2022				25726	N/A		
	c) September 2022				25698	N/A		

SEEMP Indicator No.	Indicator	Monthly Total			Quarterly Count	% (where	Threshold Triggered?	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken	
			May-22	Jun-22	guarien, count	applicable)	(Y/N)		
6	Number of motor vehicle collisions or reportable incidents that involve Project vehicles on public roads during work hours or Contractor-owned vehicles during off-work hours Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold. All incidents/accidents will be investigated.								
	Total	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	a) Number involving injuries	0	0	0	0	N/A			
	b) Number involving fatalities	0	0	0	0	N/A			
7	Workers transported from staging areas per day (average) Threshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a % of total workers on site (average during the month of the count) is less than 75%.								
	Mid-month count	695	666	782	714	N/A	Y (see Note 1)	Pipeline Contractor has initiated worker transport strategies, using passenger vans and a bus to transport workers from the Ohamil camp to the Popkum yard, as well as to the field.	
	End-month count	715	632	789	712	N/A			
	Average	705	649	786	713	N/A			
8	Personal vehicles in staging area per day (average) Threshold for Action: Number is 10% or more of AADT values at locations nearest to staging yards and qualitative feedback received about adverse traffic valume issues via indicators #11 and #12.								
	Mid-month count	1558	563	664	928	N/A		N/A	
	End-month count	1574	580	670	941	N/A	N		
	Average	1566	571.5	667	935	N/A			
9	Number of times a "captive" Project worker was referred to a local health facility or required emergency medical transport ("Captive" workers = workers on a Project premises, i.e., in camp or on work-site) Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback from local health authority regarding capacity concerns.								
	a) Total number of times a worker was referred or sent to local health facilities	2	6	7	15	N/A	N	N/A	
	i. urgent	2	3	5	10	N/A			
	ii. non-urgent	0	3	2	5	N/A			
	iii. occupational	0	0	5	5	N/A			
	iv. non-occupational	2	6	2	10	N/A			
	b) Total number of times a worker required ambulance or other emergency transport	0	1	0	1	N/A			
10	Number of medical visits to Project on-site medical facilities Threshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a percentage of average number of workers per month ris	es from the previo	us reporting cycle.						
	Total	93	12	222	327	N/A	N	N/A	
	a) occupational	6	6	45	57	N/A			
	b) non-occupational	95	6	177	278	N/A			
14	Number of people affected by a notifiable disease in a work camp 4 Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold; all notifiable diseases will be reported to the local health authority								
	Total	1	0	11	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Qualitative Indicators

SEEMP Indicator No.		Threshold Triggered? Y/N	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken			
4	Qualitative feedback about Project use of local/regional					
	Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback received about None	N	N/A			
		mber and types of calls/complaints received through TMEP feedback line (about construction-related social impacts)				
11	Threshold for Action: There is no threshold for action as a					
	Month	Number, originating from the region	Common Socio-Econom	nic Impact Themes During the Quarter		
	Apr-22	Total: 5 % Indigenous: 0 % Non-Indigenous: 100	Traffic/Driving - loader carrying sheet piles on Laidlaw Road without flaggers or a pilot vehicle; complaint about flagging system at Old Hope Princeton Highway; truck traffic along Laidlaw Road; damage to vehicle in Laidlaw area. Worker conduct - contractor disposing waste in motel waste container	Trans Mountain will continue to follow up on any traffic-related concerns. Contractors have a Traffic Management Plan that addresses public protection measures, access roads/routes to the construction site and Traffic Control Plans for each work zone which consider potential impacts with mitigation. Trans Mountain follows with the contractor to ensure traffic-related requirements are being met. Each complaint is examined in order to provide additional information and/or appropriate follow-up. The Worker Code of Conduct specifies that all workers are ambassadors of the Project, and it is important that the people living in the vicinity of the Project are treated with respect and consideration during the Project construction time frame. Complaints regarding worker conduct in the community are investigated		
	May-22 Jun-22	Total: 6 % Indigenous: 0 % Non-Indigenous: 100 Total: 4 % Indigenous: 0 % Non-Indigenous: 100	Sensory Disturbance - Project-related noise near Hope; porta-potty odour; noise from pile driving and vibration at Hockin Yard; light from construction near Laidlaw and Mission Flats; dust on Kettle Valley Road.	internally to determine appropriate disciplinary action. Trans Mountain will follow up on noise, vibration and dust complaints to confirm their relation to Project activity. Trans Mountain is working to ensure compliance with bylaws and	N/A	N/A

Qualitative feedback themes about community concerns and experienced social effects 12 Threshold for Action: Adverse socio-economic issue or concern from an appropriate government authority or service provider or community organization.						
	None	N				
13	Qualitative feedback themes about effects on the quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest resources, within the Project footprint Threshold for Action: Qualitative from Indigenous group leader/administrator regarding reports of adverse effects on quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest their resources or access cultural sites, within the Project footprint.					
	None	N	N/A			

Methodology and Notes

- Information in this report was collected through internal sources including labour and incident data from Contractors, TMEP feedback line data, and the review of stakeholder and Aboriginal engagement records. Data reflects activity related to TMEP construction only.
- A worker-day is defined as 10 hours of work, by a construction Contractor on-site or in an on-site office.
- The monthly data cut-off is typically the last Saturday of each month; this reporting cycle was March 27 June, 25, 2022.
- Thresholds are internally assessed on a monthly basis and are considered triggered if a threshold is exceeded for any given month within each quarterly reporting cycle.
- The threshold analysis of Indicator 1 utilizes the estimate of non-local/regional workers estimated in the Worker Accommodation Strategy (WAS) CER Condition 59, based on the corresponding month of construction in an ordered manner. For example, Month 1 predicted in the WAS is compared to Month 1 actual.
- Indicator 11 tallies feedback line calls/queries related to actual socio-economic impact topics related to TMEP construction activity. Socio-economic topics include: access limitations; road closures; business impacts; pressure on housing/accommodations; burden on infrastructure/services; sensory disturbance; traffic and driving; worker conduct; traditional use and cultural sites.
- Employment and business opportunity and training indicators are monitored and reported through separate processes, as per distinct CER Conditions (CER Condition 107 Aboriginal, Local and Regional Employment and Business Opportunity Monitoring Reports; and CER Condition 58 Training and Education Monitoring Reports).
- Individual numbers may be rounded to allow for totals to add up.
- For the threshold analysis for Indicator 1, the estimate of non-local/regional workers estimated in the WAS finished in May 2020. Therefore, as of June 2020 the threshold analysis for Indicator 1 is N/A.
- Indicator 5 includes active Construction contractors only.
- Data may be subject to revisions based on updates from Contractors or ongoing quality reviews.

Notes

1. For the threshold analysis for Indicator 7, the average workers transported from staging areas per day (713) is approximately 46% of the average workers on site per day (1549).