

# Trans Mountain Expansion Project Socio-Economic Monitoring Report - Fraser Valley Region

April to June 2022



## Introduction

This report presents the quarterly socio-economic monitoring results for the Fraser Valley Region related to construction of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (TMEP or the Project). The monitoring approach and indicators are discussed in detail in the TMEP Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (SEEMP) approved by the Canadian Energy Regulator (CER) pursuant to CER Condition 13 (see link below). This report presents the Project-specific indicators; these are data that are directly attributable to the Project, reflect the Project's contribution to socio-economic outcomes, and help pinpoint Project actions that may require change. Please refer to Annex 1 - Socio-Economic Context, Coquihalla Hope for the regional context indicators that reflect the broader socio-economic conditions in which Project construction is occurring.

*Trans Mountain's first priority has and will always be the health and safety of our workforce, their families and our communities. In response to the evolving COVID-19 pandemic, Trans Mountain and our construction contractors for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project have been working diligently together to ensure adherence to all advice and direction from government and health officials both provincially and federally.*

[Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan \(CER Condition 13\)](#)

(the above links to the CER website where the SEEMP is filed)

For more information contact: info@transmountain.com or 1-866-514-6700

## Project-Specific Indicators

### Quantitative Indicators

SEEMP Indicator No.	Indicator	Monthly Total			Quarterly Count	% (where applicable)	Threshold Triggered? (Y/N)	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken
		Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22				
N/A	Total calendar days of construction site work during the reporting cycle	30	24	24	78	N/A	N/A	
	Workers on site per day (average)	310	427	512	408	N/A		
1	Number of worker-days in field (categorized in two ways: by geographic origin, and also by Indigenous v. non-Indigenous status) Threshold for Action: Number of non-local/regional workers by region/spread is +/- 20% different than estimated in Worker Accommodation Strategy CER Condition 59							
	Total Worker-days	9303	10242	12288	31833	100%	Y	Indicator 5 (below) provides updated anticipated work force number (in worker days) in this region for the next business quarter. These numbers are made available through notification of the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government authorities, health authorities, social services, police, hotel / tourism associations and chambers of commerce.
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional residents	4117	4575	5643	14335	45%		
	b) Worker-days, Other (workers not Local or Regional residents)	5186	5667	6645	17498	55%		
	c) Indigenous	1078	1328	1563	3969	12%		
	d) Non-Indigenous	8225	8914	10725	27864	88%		
2	Number of worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers) Threshold for Action: Less than 75% of non-local/regional workers are staying in camps and qualitative feedback received about adverse issue or concern from local authority or tourism /hotel association representative.							
	Total Worker-days	9303	10242	12288	31833	100%	N	N/A
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home	2920	2985	3576	9481	30%		
	b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)	6383	7257	8712	22352	70%		
	c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps	0	0	0	0	0%		
3	Living out allowance or related stipend Threshold for Action: An increase in the number of worker-days paid (indicator 3a) from the previous reporting cycle and any qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities or tourism /hotel associations.							
	a) Number of worker-days paid	6399	7268	8695	22362	N/A	N	N/A
	b) Total value (\$)	\$ 1,143,669	\$ 1,345,003	\$ 1,575,315	\$ 4,063,987	N/A		
5	Number of worker-days, in field - anticipated in next business quarter Threshold for Action: N/A - this is a forward indicator to assist stakeholders and Indigenous groups in community readiness.							
	a) July 2022	N/A			11697	N/A	N/A	N/A
	b) August 2022				13850	N/A		
	c) September 2022				12441	N/A		

SEEMP Indicator No.	Indicator	Monthly Total			Quarterly Count	% (where applicable)	Threshold Triggered? (Y/N)	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken
		Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22				
6	Number of motor vehicle collisions or reportable incidents that involve Project vehicles on public roads during work hours or Contractor-owned vehicles during off-work hours <i>Threshold for Action:</i> No minimum threshold. All incidents/accidents will be investigated.							
	Total	0	1	2	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	a) Number involving injuries	0	0	0	0	N/A		
	b) Number involving fatalities	0	0	0	0	N/A		
7	Workers transported from staging areas per day (average) <i>Threshold for Action:</i> Number, when calculated as a % of total workers on site (average during the month of the count) is less than 75%.							
	Mid-month count	162	169	229	187	N/A	Y (see Note 1)	Pipeline contractor confirms they are using multi-passenger vehicles to transport workers for crews that have larger numbers of personnel working in the same area/vicinity. Workers required to move between sites are using personal vehicles.
	End-month count	150	198	205	184	N/A		
	Average	156	184	217	186	N/A		
8	Personal vehicles in staging area per day (average) <i>Threshold for Action:</i> Number is 10% or more of AADT values at locations nearest to staging yards <b>and</b> qualitative feedback received about adverse traffic volume issues via indicators #11 and #12.							
	Mid-month count	201	202	271	225	N/A	N	N/A
	End-month count	196	241	258	232	N/A		
	Average	199	222	265	228	N/A		
9	Number of times a “captive” Project worker was referred to a local health facility or required emergency medical transport ("Captive" workers = workers on a Project premises, i.e., in camp or on work-site) <i>Threshold for Action:</i> Qualitative feedback from local health authority regarding capacity concerns.							
	a) Total number of times a worker was referred or sent to local health facilities	1	1	1	3	N/A	N	N/A
	i. urgent	0	0	0	0	N/A		
	ii. non-urgent	1	1	1	3	N/A		
	iii. occupational	1	1	1	3	N/A		
	iv. non-occupational	0	0	0	0	N/A		
	b) Total number of times a worker required ambulance or other emergency transport	0	0	0	0	N/A		
10	Number of medical visits to Project on-site medical facilities <i>Threshold for Action:</i> Number, when calculated as a percentage of average number of workers per month rises from the previous reporting cycle.							
	Total	2	4	3	9	N/A	N	N/A
	a) occupational	1	4	3	8	N/A		
	b) non-occupational	1	0	0	1	N/A		
14	Number of people affected by a notifiable disease in a work camp <i>Threshold for Action:</i> No minimum threshold; all notifiable diseases will be reported to the local health authority							
	Total	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

# Qualitative Indicators

SEEMP Indicator No.	Indicator				Threshold Triggered? Y/N	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken
4	Qualitative feedback about Project use of local/regional commercial accommodation (e.g., hotels, motels, campgrounds) <i>Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities, tourism/hotel associations or hotels used by Contractor.</i>					
	None				N	N/A
11	Number and types of calls/complaints received through TMEP feedback line (about construction-related social impacts) <i>Threshold for Action: There is no threshold for action as all grievances will be responded to.</i>					
	Month	Number, originating from the region	Common Socio-Economic Impact Themes During the Quarter	Trans Mountain Response	N/A	N/A
	Apr-22	Total: 1 % Indigenous: 0 % Non Indigenous: 0	Sensory disturbance - Project-related noise from construction in Abbotsford and a generator on Roy Avenue in Chilliwack; dust on Popkum Road; concern about vibration causing cracks in garage floor; construction noise on Channel St in Abbotsford, Sandy Hill	Trans Mountain will follow up on noise, dust and vibration complaints to confirm their relation to Project activity. Trans Mountain is working to ensure compliance with bylaws and requirements. Trans Mountain will work with stakeholders to resolve and address such complaints where practical. For example, the generator has been moved, and a water truck was sent to mitigate dust on roads. Further, the vibration monitoring data was reviewed and determined that levels are below thresholds that could cause structural damage.		
	May-22	Total: 8 % Indigenous: 0 % Non Indigenous: 100	Business impacts - Project-related trucks using private business driveway to turn around	Trans Mountain will continue to follow up on any traffic-related or business impact concerns. Contractors have a Traffic Management Plan that addresses public protection measures, access roads/routes to the construction site and Traffic Control Plans for each work zone which consider potential impacts with mitigation. Trans Mountain follows with the contractor to ensure traffic-related requirements are being met. Each complaint is examined in order to provide additional information and/or appropriate follow-up. For example, a memo has been sent to contractors and subcontractors to not use driveways to turn around and signage has been placed in the area. TMEP also monitors Project-related delays along the entire Project corridor through a delay coordination system to verify the public isn't adversely impacted by Project traffic control zones and to ensure Project-related delays stay within required limits.		
	Jun-22	Total: 7 % Indigenous: 0 % Non-Indigenous: 100	Traffic - lack of parking on Belanger Drive; traffic backed up at Ledgeview related to construction; mud along Bridal Falls Road related to construction; Project-related construction vehicles holding up traffic on Arlington Dr and in Abbotsford.	The Worker Code of Conduct specifies that all workers are ambassadors of the Project, and it is important that the people living in the vicinity of the Project are treated with respect and consideration during the Project construction time frame. In this instance, the contractor and Trans Mountain employees have been reminded to tell work crews to watch their language.		
			Worker conduct - inappropriate language close to residential area			
12	Qualitative feedback themes about community concerns and experienced social effects <i>Threshold for Action: Adverse socio-economic issue or concern from an appropriate government authority or service provider or community organization.</i>					
	None				N	N/A
13	Qualitative feedback themes about effects on the quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest resources, within the Project footprint <i>Threshold for Action: Qualitative from Indigenous group leader/administrator regarding reports of adverse effects on quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest their resources or access cultural sites, within the Project footprint.</i>					
	None				N	N/A

## Methodology and Notes

- Information in this report was collected through internal sources including labour and incident data from Contractors, TMEP feedback line data, and the review of stakeholder and Indigenous engagement records. Data reflects activity related to TMEP construction only.
- A worker-day is defined as 10 hours of work, by a construction Contractor on-site or in an on-site office.
- The monthly data cut-off is typically the last Saturday of each month; this reporting cycle was March 27 - June 25, 2022.
- Thresholds are internally assessed on a monthly basis and are considered triggered if a threshold is exceeded for any given month within each quarterly reporting cycle.
- The threshold analysis of Indicator 1 utilizes the estimate of non-local/regional workers estimated in the Worker Accommodation Strategy (WAS) CER Condition 59, based on the corresponding month of construction in an ordered manner. For example, Month 1 predicted in the WAS is compared to Month 1 actual.
- Indicator 11 tallies feedback line calls/queries related to actual socio-economic impact topics related to TMEP construction activity. Socio-economic topics include: access limitations; road closures; business impacts; pressure on housing/accommodation; burden on infrastructure/services; sensory disturbance; traffic and driving; worker conduct; traditional use and cultural sites.
- Employment and business opportunity and training indicators are monitored and reported through separate processes, as per distinct CER Conditions (CER Condition 107 - Aboriginal, Local and Regional Employment and Business Opportunity Monitoring Reports; and CER Condition 58 - Training and Education Monitoring Reports).
- Individual numbers may be rounded to allow for totals to add up.
- Indicator 5 includes active Construction contractors only.
- Data may be subject to revisions based on updates from Contractors or ongoing quality reviews.

### Notes:

1. For the threshold analysis for Indicator 7, the average workers transported from staging areas per day (186) is approximately 46% of the average workers on site per day (408)