# **Trans Mountain Expansion Project**

# Socio-Economic Monitoring Report - Fraser Valley Region

January to March 2022 (Rev 1)



#### Introduction

This report presents the quarterly socio-economic monitoring results for the Fraser Valley Region related to construction of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (TMEP or the Project). The monitoring approach and indicators are discussed in detail in the TMEP Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (SEEMP) approved by the Canadian Energy Regulator (CER) pursuant to CER Condition 13 (see link below). This report presents the Project-specific indicators; these are data that are directly attributable to the Project, reflect the Project's contribution to socio-economic outcomes, and help pinpoint Project actions that may require change. Please refer to Annex 1 - Socio-Economic Context, Coquihalla Hope for the regional context indicators that reflect the broader socio-economic conditions in which Project construction is occurring.

Trans Mountain's first priority has and will always be the health and safety of our workforce, their families and our communities. In response to the evolving COVID-19 pandemic, Trans Mountain and our construction contractors for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project have been working diligently together to ensure adherence to all advice and direction from government and health officials both provincially and federally.

Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (CER Condition 13)

(the above links to the CER website where the SEEMP is filed)

For more information contact: info@transmountain.com or 1-866-514-6700

# **Project-Specific Indicators**

## **Quantitative Indicators**

Indicator No.  Jan-22 Feb-22 Mar-22  Total calendar days of construction site work during the reporting cycle  Workers on site per day (average)  Number of worker-days in field (categorized in two ways: by geographic origin, and also by Indigenous v. non-Indigenous status)  Threshold for Action: Number of non-local/regional workers by region/spread is +/- 20% different than estimated in Worker Accommodation Strategy CER Condition 59  Total Worker-days  Augustian Strategy CER Condition 59  Total Worker-days, Local or Regional residents  Augustian Strategy CER Condition 59  Indicator 5 (below) provides updated anticipated work force number (in worker days) in this region for the next business quarter by Worker-days, Other (workers not Local or Regional residents)  Worker-days, Other (workers not Local or Regional residents)  2319  3114  8659  14092  62%  Y  Indicator 5 (below) provides updated anticipated work force number (in worker days) in this region for the next business quarter These numbers are made available through notification of the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government on the online posting of this Report to municipal	SEEMP Indicator No.	. Indicator	Monthly Total			Quarterly Count	% (where	Threshold Triggered?	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken		
Notes and size per day (everage)  Notes and size per day (everage) and size per			Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22				30 44, 44		
Number of worker-days in field (ategorized in two ways: by agonyaphic origin, and also by indigenous v. non-indigenous vs. vs. vs. non-indigenous vs. non-indigenous vs. non-indigenous vs. vs. vs. non-indigenous vs. vs. vs. non-indigenous vs. vs. vs. non-indigenous vs. vs. vs. non-indigenous vs. non-indigenous vs. non-indigenous vs. non-indigenous vs. vs. non-indigenous vs. vs. non-indigenous vs	NI/A	Total calendar days of construction site work during the reporting cycle	30	24	24	78	N/A	N/A			
Total Worker-days    Authority   Continued   Continued	N/A	Workers on site per day (average)	145	250	512	291	N/A		N/A		
Total Worker-days   Local or Regional residents   2044   2886   3637   8567   38%	1	Number of worker-days in field (categorized in two ways: by geographic origin, and also by Indigenous v. non-Indigenous status)									
a) Worker-days, Local or Regional residents  2044 2886 3637 8567 38%  b) Worker-days, Cher (worker snot Local or Regional residents)  2319 3114 8659 14092 62%  c) Indigenous 498 614 1216 2328 10%  d) Non-indigenous 3865 5386 11090 20331 90%  2010 Number of worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers)  Threshold for Action: Less than 75% of non-inocal/regional workers are staying in camps and qualitative feedback received about adverse issue or concern from local authority or tourism fhotel associations representative.  Total Worker-days, not Local or Regional resident, residing at home  a) Worker-days, not Local or Regional resident, residing at home  b) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps  c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps  2602 3669 9628 15899 N/A  1006 N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A		Threshold for Action: Number of non-local/regional workers by region/spread is +/- 20% different than estimated in Worker Accommodation Strategy CER Condition 59									
a) Worker-days, Local or Regional residents		Total Worker-days	4363	6000	12296	22659	100%	Y	number (in worker days) in this region for the next business quarter. These numbers are made available through notification of the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government authorities, health authorities, social services, police, hotel / tourism		
b) Worker-days, Other (workers not Local or Regional residents)  2319 3114 8659 14092 67%  c) Indigenous  d) Non-Indigenous  d) Non-Indigenous  d) Non-Indigenous  1836 5386 11080 20331 90%  237 Interpretation of the Worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers)  Threshold for Action: Less than 75% of non-local/regional workers are staying in camps and qualitative feedback received about adverse issue or concern from local authority or tourism //hotel association representative.  Total Worker-days  a) Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home  1830 2330 2253 6813 30%  b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		a) Worker-days, Local or Regional residents	2044	2886	3637	8567	38%				
c) Indigenous  d) Non-Indigenous  d) Non-Indigenous  associations and chambers of commerce.  2  Number of worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers)  Threshold for Action: Less than 75% of non-local/regional workers are staying in camps and qualitative feedback received about adverse issue or concern from local authority or tourism //hotel association representative.  Total Worker-days, local or Regional resident, residing at home  b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)  c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)  3  Threshold for Action: An increase in the number of worker-days paid (indicator 3a) from the previous reporting cycle and any qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities or tourism //hotel association representative.  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1		b) Worker-days, Other (workers not Local or Regional residents)	2319	3114	8659	14092	62%				
Number of worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers) Threshold for Action: Less than 75% of non-local/regional workers are staying in comps and qualitative feedback received about adverse issue or concern from local authority or tourism /hotel association representative.  Total Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home  1830 2330 2653 6813 30%  b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel) 2533 3670 9643 115846 70%  c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps 0 0 0 0 0 0%  Living out allowance or related stipend Threshold for Action: An increase in the number of worker-days poid (indicator 3a) from the previous reporting cycle and any qualitative feedback received about odverse issues from local authorities or tourism /hotel associations.  a) Number of worker-days paid b) Total value (5)  Number of worker-days, in field - anticipated in next business quarter Threshold for Action: N/A - this is a forward indicator to assist stakeholders and Indigenous groups in community readiness.  a) April 2022  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N		c) Indigenous	498	614	1216	2328	10%				
Total Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home    A363   6000   12296   22659   100%		d) Non-Indigenous	3865	5386	11080	20331	90%				
Threshold for Action: Less than 75% of non-local/regional workers are staying in camps and qualitative feedback received about adverse issue or concern from local authority or tourism /hactel association representative.  Total Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home  a) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)  c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2	Number of worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers)									
a) Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home  1830 2330 2653 6813 30%  b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel) 2533 3670 9643 15846 70%  c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	_	<b>Threshold for Action:</b> Less than 75% of non-local/regional workers are staying in camps <b>and</b> qualitative feed	back received abou	ut adverse issue or	concern from loca	l authority or touris	m /hotel asso	ociation represent	ative.		
b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)  c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Total Worker-days	4363	6000	12296	22659	100%		N/A		
b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)  c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		a) Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home	1830	2330	2653	6813	30%	N			
Living out allowance or related stipend Threshold for Action: An increase in the number of worker-days paid (indicator 3a) from the previous reporting cycle and any qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities or tourism /hotel associations.  a) Number of worker-days paid b) Total value (\$\$)  Number of worker-days, in field - anticipated in next business quarter Threshold for Action: N/A - this is a forward indicator to assist stakeholders and Indigenous groups in community readiness.  a) April 2022 b) May 2022  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N		b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)	2533	3670	9643	15846	70%	N			
Threshold for Action: An increase in the number of worker-days paid (indicator 3a) from the previous reporting cycle and any qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities or tourism /hotel associations.  a) Number of worker-days paid b) Total value (\$)  Number of worker-days, in field - anticipated in next business quarter Threshold for Action: N/A - this is a forward indicator to assist stakeholders and Indigenous groups in community readiness.  a) April 2022 b) May 2022  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N		c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps	0	0	0	0	0%				
b) Total value (\$) \$ 482,130 \$ 585,519 \$ 1,802,351 \$ 2,870,000 N/A  Number of worker-days, in field - anticipated in next business quarter Threshold for Action: N/A - this is a forward indicator to assist stakeholders and Indigenous groups in community readiness.  a) April 2022 b) May 2022  N/A  11618  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A	3										
b) Total value (\$)   S   482,130   \$   585,519   \$   1,802,351   \$   2,870,000   N/A		a) Number of worker-days paid	2602	3669	9628	15899	N/A	N	N/A		
Threshold for Action: N/A - this is a forward indicator to assist stakeholders and Indigenous groups in community readiness.  a) April 2022 b) May 2022 N/A 11618 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		b) Total value (\$)	\$ 482,130	\$ 585,519	\$ 1,802,351	\$ 2,870,000	N/A				
b) May 2022 N/A 11618 N/A N/A N/A N/A	5										
		a) April 2022	N/A			10566	N/A	N/A	N/A		
c) June 2022 11913 N/A		b) May 2022				11618	N/A				
		c) June 2022				11913	N/A				

1

SEEMP Indicator No.	. Indicator		Monthly Total		Quarterly Count	% (where	Threshold Triggered?	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken		
			Feb-22	Mar-22	а	applicable)	(Y/N)			
6	Number of motor vehicle collisions or reportable incidents that involve Project vehicles on public roads during work hours or Contractor-owned vehicles during off-work hours  Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold. All incidents/accidents will be investigated.									
	Total	1	1	0	2	N/A		N/A		
							N/A			
	a) Number involving injuries	0	0	0	0	N/A				
	b) Number involving fatalities	0	0	0	0	N/A				
7	Workers transported from staging areas per day (average)  Threshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a % of total workers on site (average during the month of the count) is less than 75%.									
	Mid-month count	100	117	159	125	N/A		Pipeline contractor confirms they are using multi-passenger vehicles to transport workers for crews that have larger numbers of personnel working in the same area/vicinity. Workers requiring to move between sites are using personal vehicles.		
	End-month count	100	121	162	128	N/A	Y (see Note 1)			
	Average	100	119	161	127	N/A				
8	Personal vehicles in staging area per day (average)  Threshold for Action: Number is 10% or more of AADT values at locations nearest to staging yards and qualitative feedback received about adverse traffic volume issues via indicators #11 and #12.									
	Mid-month count	116	169	216	167	N/A				
	End-month count	109	163	214	162	N/A	N	N/A		
	Average	113	166	215	165	N/A	1			
	Number of times a "captive" Project worker was referred to a local health facility or required emergency medical transport ("Captive" workers = workers on a Project premises, i.e., in camp or on work-site)									
9	Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback from local health authority regarding capacity concerns.									
	a) Total number of times a worker was referred or sent to local health facilities	0	1	0	1	N/A		N/A		
	i. urgent	0	0	0	0	N/A				
	ii. non-urgent	0	1	0	1	N/A	N			
	iii. occupational	0	0	0	0	N/A	N			
	iv. non-occupational	0	1	0	1	N/A				
	b) Total number of times a worker required ambulance or other emergency transport	0	0	0	0	N/A				
10	Number of medical visits to Project on-site medical facilities									
	Threshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a percentage of average number of workers per month ris	-		l	1		<u> </u>			
	Total	0	6	10	16	N/A	Y	Contractor continuing to evaluate on-site medical services to ensure they are adequate to support Project needs.		
	a) occupational	0	4	1	5	N/A				
	b) non-occupational	0	2	9	11	N/A				
14	Number of people affected by a notifiable disease in a work camp  Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold; all notifiable diseases will be reported to the local health author	rity								
	Total	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
			l	l	1					

### **Qualitative Indicators**

SEEMP Indicator No.		Threshold Triggered? Y/N	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken							
4	Qualitative feedback about Project use of local/regional commercial accommodation (e.g., hotels, motels, campgrounds)  Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities, tourism/hotel associations or hotels used by Contractor.									
	None	N	N/A							
11	Number and types of calls/complaints received through TMEP feedback line (about construction-related social impacts)  Threshold for Action: There is no threshold for action as all grievances will be responded to.									
	Month	Number, originating from the region	Common Socio-Economic Impact Themes During the Quarter	Trans Mountain Response						
	Jan-22	Total: 0 % Indigenous: 0 % Non Indigenous: 0	Sensory disturbance - Bridal Falls resident dust concern	1						
	Feb-22	Total: 0 % Indigenous: 0 % Non Indigenous: 0	Traffic - Abbotsford resident vehicle		N/A	N/A				
	Mar-22	Total: 2 % Indigenous: 0 % Non Indigenous: 100	damage after backing into a Project sign							
12	Qualitative feedback themes about community concerns and experienced social effects									
	Threshold for Action: Adverse socio-economic issue or concern from an appropriate government authority or service provider or community organization.									
	None	N	N/A							
13	Qualitative feedback themes about effects on the quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest resources, within the Project footprint  Threshold for Action: Qualitative from Indigenous group leader/administrator regarding reports of adverse effects on quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest their resources or access cultural sites, within the Project footprint.									
	None	N/A								

# Methodology and Notes

- Information in this report was collected through internal sources including labour and incident data from Contractors, TMEP feedback line data, and the review of stakeholder and Indigenous engagement records. Data reflects activity related to TMEP construction only.
- A worker-day is defined as 10 hours of work, by a construction Contractor on-site or in an on-site office.
- The monthly data cut-off is typically the last Saturday of each month; this reporting cycle was December 26, 2021 March 26, 2022.
- Thresholds are internally assessed on a monthly basis and are considered triggered if a threshold is exceeded for any given month within each quarterly reporting cycle.
- The threshold analysis of Indicator 1 utilizes the estimate of non-local/regional workers estimated in the Worker Accommodation Strategy (WAS) CER Condition 59, based on the corresponding month of construction in an ordered manner. For example, Month 1 predicted in the WAS is compared to Month 1 actual.
- Indicator 11 tallies feedback line calls/queries related to actual socio-economic impact topics related to TMEP construction activity. Socio-economic topics include: access limitations; road closures; business impacts; pressure on housing/accommodations; burden on infrastructure/services; sensory disturbance; traffic and driving; worker conduct; traditional use and cultural sites.
- Employment and business opportunity and training indicators are monitored and reported through separate processes, as per distinct CER Conditions (CER Condition 107 Aboriginal, Local and Regional Employment and Business Opportunity Monitoring Reports; and CER Condition 58 Training and Education Monitoring Reports).
- Individual numbers may be rounded to allow for totals to add up.
- Indicator 5 includes active Construction contractors only.
- Data may be subject to revisions based on updates from Contractors or ongoing quality reviews.

#### Notes:

......

1. For the threshold analysis for Indicator 7, the average workers transported from staging areas per day (127) is approximately 44% of the average workers on site per day (291)