# **Trans Mountain Expansion Project**

# Socio-Economic Monitoring Report - BC Interior Region October to December 2020



#### Introduction

This report presents the quarterly socio-economic monitoring results for the BC Interior Region related to construction of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (TMEP or the Project). The monitoring approach and indicators are discussed in detail in the TMEP Socio-Economic Effects
Monitoring Plan (SEEMP) approved by the Canadian Energy Regulator (CER) pursuant to CER Condition 13 (see link below). This report presents the Project-specific indicators; these are data that are directly attributable to the Project, reflect the Project's contribution to socioeconomic outcomes, and help pinpoint Project actions that may require change. Please refer to Annex 1 - Socio-Economic Context, BC Interior for the regional context indicators that reflect the broader socio-economic conditions in which Project construction is occurring.

Trans Mountain's first priority has and will always be the health and safety of our workforce, their families and our communities. In response to the evolving COVID-19 pandemic, Trans Mountain and our construction contractors for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project have been working diligently together to ensure adherence to all advice and direction from government and health officials both provincially and federally.

Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (CER Condition 13)

(the above links to the CER website where the SEEMP is filed)

For more information contact: info@transmountain.com or 1-866-514-6700

# **Project-Specific Indicators**

#### **Quantitative Indicators**

SEEMP Indicator No.	Indicator	Monthly Total			Quarterly Count %		Threshold Triggered?	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken		
			Nov-20	Dec-20		(мнеге аррисавие)	(Y/N)			
N/A	Total calendar days of construction work during the reporting cycle	30	24	16	70	N/A		N/A		
	Workers on site per day (average)	458	767	806	644	N/A		N/A		
1	Number of worker-days in field (categorized in two ways: by geographic origin, and also by Indigenous v. non-Indigenous status)									
	Threshold for Action: Number of non-local/regional workers by region/spread is +/- 20% different than estimated in Worker Accommodation Strategy CER Condition 59									
	Total Worker-days	13745	18405	12898	45048	100%	Y	Indicator 5 (below) provides updated anticipated work force number (in worker days) in this region for the next business quarter. These numbers are made available through notification of the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government authorities, health authorities, social services, police, hotel / tourism associations and chambers of commerce.		
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional residents	5955	7733	5734	19422	43%				
	b) Worker-days, Other (workers not Local or Regional residents)	7790	10672	7164	25626	57%				
	c) Indigenous	2139	3174	2254	7567	17%				
	d) Non-Indigenous	11606	15231	10644	37481	83%				
2	Number of worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers)	Number of worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers)								
_	<b>Threshold for Action:</b> Less than 75% of non-local/regional workers are staying in camps <b>and</b> qualitative fee	dback received abo	out adverse issue o	or concern from loca	al authority or tourism	/hotel associatio	n representative			
	Total Worker-days	13745	18405	12898	45048	100%	N	N/A		
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home	2415	2971	2114	7500	17%				
	b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)	11330	15434	10784	37548	83%				
	c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps	0	0	0	0	0%				
3	Living out allowance or related stipend  Threshold for Action: An increase in the number of worker-days paid (indicator 3a) from the previous reporting cycle and any qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities or tourism /hotel associations.									
	a) Number of Worker-days paid	10045	10005	11900	38670	N/A	N	N/A		
	b) Total value (\$)	\$ 1,770,134		\$ 1,778,733	\$ 5,723,541	N/A				
5	Number of worker-days, in field - anticipated in next business quarter (*projections may be impacted by the safety stand down)  Threshold for Action: N/A - this is a forward indicator to assist stakeholders and Indigenous groups in community readiness.									
	a) Jan 2021	N/A			1725*	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	b) Feb 2021				12276*	N/A				
	c) Mar 2021				8834*	N/A				

SEEMP Indicator No.	). Indicator	Monthly Total		Quarterly Count	% (where applicable)	Threshold Triggered?	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken			
			Nov-20	Dec-20		(	(Y/N)			
6	Number of motor vehicle collisions or reportable incidents that involve Project vehicles on public roads during work hours or Contractor-owned vehicles during off-work hours									
	Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold. All incidents/accidents will be investigated.  Total									
	Iotai	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	a) Number involving injuries	0	0	0	0	N/A				
	b) Number involving fatalities	0	0	0	0	N/A				
7	Workers transported from staging areas per day (average)									
	Threshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a % of total workers on site (average during the month of the count) is less than 75%.									
	Mid-month count	301	156	507	321	N/A	v	Pipeline contractor confirmed they are using multi-passenger vehicles to transport workers. In some cases (e.g., pump stations), there is no staging area separate from the worksite and/or vehicles are required for daily work.		
	End-month count	346	497	598	480	N/A	Y (see Note 1)			
	Average	324	327	553	401	N/A				
8	Personal vehicles in staging area per day (average)  Threshold for Action: Number is 10% or more of AADT values at locations nearest to staging yards and qualitative feedback received about adverse traffic volume issues via indicators #11 and #12.									
	Mid-month count	225	353	421	333	N/A		N/A		
	End-month count	253	369	420	347	N/A	N			
	Average	239	361	421	340	N/A				
	Number of times a "captive" Project worker was referred to a local health facility or required emergency medical transport ("Captive" workers = workers on a Project premises, i.e., in camp or on work-site)									
9	Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback from local health authority regarding capacity concerns.									
	a) Total number of times a worker was referred or sent to local health facilities	0	1	1	2	N/A		N/A		
	i. urgent	0	0	0	0	N/A				
	ii. non-urgent	0	1	1	2	N/A	N			
	iii. occupational	0	1	1	2	N/A	.,			
	iv. non-occupational	0	0	0	0	N/A				
	b) Total number of times a worker required ambulance or other emergency transport	0	0	0	0	N/A				
10	Number of medical visits to Project on-site medical facilities  Threshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a percentage of average number of workers per month ris	ses from the previo	ous reporting cycle							
	Total	0	0	1	1	N/A		On-site medical services are adequate to support Project needs. The Contractor adapted its on site medical services to respond to the extra precautions related to COVID-19.		
	a) occupational	0	0	1	1	N/A	Υ			
	b) non-occupational	0	0	0	0	N/A				
14	Number of people affected by a notifiable disease in a work camp  Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold; all notifiable diseases will be reported to the local health author	ority								
	Total	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

## **Qualitative Indicators**

SEEMP Indicator No.		Threshold Triggered? Y/N	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken								
4	Qualitative feedback about Project use of local/regional commercial accommodation (e.g., hotels, motels, campgrounds)  Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities, tourism/hotel associations or hotels used by Contractor.										
	The shou for Action. Qualitative Jeeubuck received abo	N	N/A								
	Number and types of calls/complaints received through										
11	Threshold for Action: There is no threshold for action as all grievances will be responded to.										
	Month	Number, originating from the region	Common	Socio-Economic Impact Themes							
	Oct-20	Total: 2 % Indigenous: 0 % Non-Indigenous: 100	Traffic - speeding truck on Summit Drive in the Mission Flats area of Kamloops, BC	Trans Mountain will continue to follow up on traffic- related concerns to confirm their relation to Project activity. Trans Mountain and its contractors have reinforced the requirement to obey posted speed limits.							
			Sensory - noise from HDD in Kamloops	Trans Mountain will follow up on noise complaints received to confirm their relation to Project activity. Trans Mountain will work with stakeholders to resolve and address such complaints where practical. Trans Mountain agreed to modify noise activity between 7:00 am and 10:00 pm.	N/A	N/A					
	Nov-20	Total: 2 % Indigenous: 0 % Non-Indigenous: 100	Traffic - Project-related trucks speeding near Black Pines	Trans Mountain will continue to follow up on traffic- related concerns to confirm their relation to Project activity. Trans Mountain and its contractors have reinforced the requirement to obey posted speed limits.							
	Dec-20	Total: 2 % Indigenous: 0 % Non-Indigenous: 100	Sensory - lighting at the Cando Stockpile Site along Mission Flats Road shining into oncoming traffic.	Trans Mountain will follow up on light complaints received to confirm their relation to Project activity. Trans Mountain will work with stakeholders to resolve and address such complaints where practical. In this instance, the lights were redirected and the issue was resolved.							
12	Qualitative feedback themes about community concerns and experienced social effects										
	Threshold for Action: Adverse socio-economic issue or continuous and the socio-economic issue or continuous and	nization. ans Mountain Response									
	Theme Impact Overview Trans Mountain Response  None					N/A					
13	Qualitative feedback themes about effects on the quali Threshold for Action: Qualitative from Indigenous g the Project footprint.	of Indigenous ped	ople to harvest their resources or access cultural sites, within								
	None	N	N/A								

### **Methodology and Notes**

- Information in this report was collected through internal sources including labour and incident data from Contractors, TMEP f eedback line data, and the review of stakeholder and Indigenous engagement records. Data reflects activity related to TMEP construction only. It is reflective of construction scope pursuant to CER Condition 13 (not inclusive of Kingsvale Transmission Line)
- A worker-day is defined as 10 hours of work, by a construction Contractor on-site or in an on-site office.
- The monthly data cut-off is typically the last Saturday of each month; this reporting cycle was September 27, 2020 to December 17, 2020.
- Thresholds are internally assessed on a monthly basis and are considered triggered if a threshold is exceeded for any given month within each quarterly reporting cycle.
- The threshold analysis of Indicator 1 utilizes the estimate of non-local/regional workers estimated in the Worker Accommodation Strategy (WAS) CER Condition 59, based on the corresponding month of construction in an ordered manner. For example, Month 1 predicted in the WAS is compared to Month 1 actual.
- Indicator 11 tallies feedback line calls/queries related to socio-economic impact topics related to TMEP construction activity. Socio-economic topics include: access limitations; road closures; business impacts; pressure on housing/accommodations; burd en on infrastructure/services; sensory disturbance; traffic and driving; worker conduct; traditional use and cultural sites.
- Employment and business opportunity and training indicators are monitored and reported through separate processes, as per distinct CER Conditions (CER Condition 107 Aboriginal, Local and Regional Employment and Business Opportunity Monitoring Reports; and CER Condition 58 Training and Education Monitoring Reports).
- Individual numbers may be rounded to allow for totals to add up.
- Indicator 5 includes active Construction contractors only.
- Data may be subject to revisions based on updates from Contractors or ongoing quality reviews.

#### Notes

1. For the threshold analysis for Indicator 7, the average workers transported from staging areas per day (401) is approximately 62% of the average workers on site per day (644).