

Trans Mountain Expansion Project
Socio-Economic Monitoring Report - Coquihalla Hope Region
July to September 2021



Introduction

This report presents the quarterly socio-economic monitoring results for the Coquihalla Hope Region related to construction of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (TMEP or the Project). The monitoring approach and indicators are discussed in detail in the TMEP Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (SEEMP) approved by the Canadian Energy Regulator (CER) pursuant to CER Condition 13 (see link below). This report presents the Project-specific indicators; these are data that are directly attributable to the Project, reflect the Project's contribution to socio-economic outcomes, and help pinpoint Project actions that may require change. Please refer to Annex 1 - Socio-Economic Context, Coquihalla Hope for the regional context indicators that reflect the broader socio-economic conditions in which Project construction is occurring.

Trans Mountain's first priority has and will always be the health and safety of our workforce, their families and our communities. In response to the evolving COVID-19 pandemic, Trans Mountain and our construction contractors for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project have been working diligently together to ensure adherence to all advice and direction from government and health officials both provincially and federally.

[Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan \(CER Condition 13\)](#)

(the above links to the CER website where the SEEMP is filed)

For more information contact: info@transmountain.com or 1-866-514-6700

Project-Specific Indicators

Quantitative Indicators

SEEMP Indicator No.	Indicator	Monthly Total			Quarterly Count	% (where applicable)	Threshold Triggered? (Y/N)	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken
		Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21				
N/A	Total calendar days of construction site work during the reporting cycle <i>(includes days of select site activity during the safety shutdown)</i>	30	24	24	78	N/A		N/A
	Workers on site per day (average)	620	1054	1132	911	N/A		
1	Number of worker-days in field (categorized in two ways: by geographic origin, and also by Indigenous v. non-Indigenous status) Threshold for Action: Number of non-local/regional workers by region/spread is +/- 20% different than estimated in Worker Accommodation Strategy CER Condition 59							
	Total Worker-days	18591	25291	27176	71058	100%	N/A	N/A
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional residents	6650	9216	7518	23384	33%		
	b) Worker-days, Other (workers not Local or Regional residents)	11941	16075	19658	47674	67%		
	c) Indigenous	2716	3404	3639	9759	14%		
	d) Non-Indigenous	15875	21887	23537	61299	86%		
2	Number of worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers) Threshold for Action: Less than 75% of non-local/regional workers are staying in camps and qualitative feedback received about adverse issue or concern from local authority or tourism /hotel association representative.							
	Total Worker-days	18591	25291	27176	71058	100%	N	N/A
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home	3898	4762	3998	12658	18%		
	b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)	8369	12690	15962	37021	52%		
	c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps	6324	7839	7216	21379	30%		
3	Living out allowance or related stipend Threshold for Action: An increase in the number of worker-days paid (indicator 3a) from the previous reporting cycle and any qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities or tourism /hotel associations.							
	a) Number of worker-days paid	9323	12985	15472	37780	N/A	N	N/A
	b) Total value (\$)	\$ 1,516,390	\$ 2,337,423	\$ 2,015,398	\$ 5,869,211	N/A		
5	Number of worker-days, in field - anticipated in next business quarter Threshold for Action: N/A - this is a forward indicator to assist stakeholders and Indigenous groups in community readiness.							
	a) October 2021	N/A			57173	N/A	N/A	N/A
	b) November 2021				45832	N/A		
	c) December 2021				33676	N/A		

SEEMP Indicator No.	Indicator	Monthly Total			Quarterly Count	% (where applicable)	Threshold Triggered? (Y/N)	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken
		Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21				
6	Number of motor vehicle collisions or reportable incidents that involve Project vehicles on public roads during work hours or Contractor-owned vehicles during off-work hours <i>Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold. All incidents/accidents will be investigated.</i>							
	Total	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	a) Number involving injuries	0	0	0	0	N/A		
	b) Number involving fatalities	0	0	0	0	N/A		
7	Workers transported from staging areas per day (average) <i>Threshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a % of total workers on site (average during the month of the count) is less than 75%.</i>							
	Mid-month count	384	507	496	462	N/A	Y (see Note 1)	Pipeline Contractor has initiated worker transport strategies, using passenger vans and a bus to transport workers from the Ohamil camp to the Popkum yard, as well as to the field.
	End-month count	403	677	571	550	N/A		
	Average	394	592	534	506	N/A		
8	Personal vehicles in staging area per day (average) <i>Threshold for Action: Number is 10% or more of AADT values at locations nearest to staging yards and qualitative feedback received about adverse traffic volume issues via indicators #11 and #12.</i>							
	Mid-month count	501	614	552	556	N/A	N	N/A
	End-month count	522	656	547	575	N/A		
	Average	512	635	550	565	N/A		
9	Number of times a “captive” Project worker was referred to a local health facility or required emergency medical transport ("Captive" workers = workers on a Project premises, i.e., in camp or on work-site) <i>Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback from local health authority regarding capacity concerns.</i>							
	a) Total number of times a worker was referred or sent to local health facilities	1	3	4	8	N/A	N	N/A
	i. urgent	0	0	0	0	N/A		
	ii. non-urgent	1	3	4	8	N/A		
	iii. occupational	0	0	1	1	N/A		
	iv. non-occupational	1	3	3	7	N/A		
	b) Total number of times a worker required ambulance or other emergency transport	0	0	0	0	N/A		
10	Number of medical visits to Project on-site medical facilities <i>Threshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a percentage of average number of workers per month rises from the previous reporting cycle.</i>							
	Total	15	24	35	74	N/A	N	N/A
	a) occupational	0	0	17	17	N/A		
	b) non-occupational	15	24	17	56	N/A		
14	Number of people affected by a notifiable disease in a work camp <i>Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold; all notifiable diseases will be reported to the local health authority</i>							
	Total	0	1	1	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

Qualitative Indicators

SEEMP Indicator No.	Indicator			Threshold Triggered? Y/N	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken
4	Qualitative feedback about Project use of local/regional commercial accommodation (e.g., hotels, motels, campgrounds) <i>Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities, tourism/hotel associations or hotels used by Contractor.</i>				
	None			N	N/A
11	Number and types of calls/complaints received through TMEP feedback line (about construction-related social impacts) <i>Threshold for Action: There is no threshold for action as all grievances will be responded to.</i>				
	Month	Number, originating from the region	Common Socio-Economic Impact Themes During the Quarter		N/A
	Jul-21	Total: 2 % Indigenous: 0 % Non-Indigenous: 100	Traffic - Complaints regarding damage to vehicles as a result of Coquihalla Highway realignment.	Trans Mountain will continue to follow up on traffic-related concerns. Contractors have a Traffic Management Plan addressing public protection measures, access roads/routes to the construction site and Traffic Control Plans for each work zone. Trans Mountain follows up with the contractor to ensure traffic-related requirements are followed.	
	Aug-21	Total: 5 % Indigenous: 0 % Non-Indigenous: 100	Traffic - Project-related speeding and traffic around Laidlaw and Hope.		
	Sep-21	Total: 4 % Indigenous: 0 % Non-Indigenous: 100			
			Sensory Disturbance - Noise from vehicles in Ohamil camp parking lot (e.g., car alarm)	Trans Mountain will follow up on noise complaints received to ensure their relation to Project activity, and work with stakeholders/landowners to resolve and address such complaints where practicable. In this instance, the noise source was resolved. An after-hours phone line has been established at Ohamil camp to ensure all camp specific inquiries can be responded to.	
			Community Way of Life - Laidlaw resident concerned about observations of women walking along Laidlaw Road in vicinity of Ohamil camp and potential for prostitution (unsubstantiated).	Trans Mountain has comprehensive Security Plans for all sites, including Ohamil camp community and stockpile site on Laidlaw Road. This involves multiple layers of security monitoring regarding any suspicious activity on or near sites. Trans Mountain Security team members meet regularly with local police detachments regarding any security matters that may intersect with Trans Mountain sites or its workforce. Trans Mountain will provide any assistance should the police request it. Trans Mountain Code of Conduct requires Project workers to conduct themselves in an appropriate and lawful manner at all times, including during their off hours	
12	Qualitative feedback themes about community concerns and experienced social effects <i>Threshold for Action: Adverse socio-economic issue or concern from an appropriate government authority or service provider or community organization.</i>				
	None			N	N/A
13	Qualitative feedback themes about effects on the quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest resources, within the Project footprint <i>Threshold for Action: Qualitative from Indigenous group leader/administrator regarding reports of adverse effects on quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest their resources or access cultural sites, within the Project footprint.</i>				
	None			N	N/A

Methodology and Notes

- Information in this report was collected through internal sources including labour and incident data from Contractors, TMEP feedback line data, and the review of stakeholder and Indigenous engagement records. Data reflects activity related to TMEP construction only.
- A worker-day is defined as 10 hours of work, by a construction Contractor on-site or in an on-site office.
- The monthly data cut-off is typically the last Saturday of each month; this reporting cycle was June 27 to September 25, 2021.
- Thresholds are internally assessed on a monthly basis and are considered triggered if a threshold is exceeded for any given month within each quarterly reporting cycle.
- The threshold analysis of Indicator 1 utilizes the estimate of non-local/regional workers estimated in the Worker Accommodation Strategy (WAS) CER Condition 59, based on the corresponding month of construction in an ordered manner. For example, Month 1 predicted in the WAS is compared to Month 1 actual.
- Indicator 11 tallies feedback line calls/queries related to actual socio-economic impact topics related to TMEP construction activity. Socio-economic topics include: access limitations; road closures; business impacts; pressure on housing/accommodation; burden on infrastructure/services; sensory disturbance; traffic and driving; worker conduct; traditional use and cultural sites.
- Employment and business opportunity and training indicators are monitored and reported through separate processes, as per distinct CER Conditions (CER Condition 107 - Aboriginal, Local and Regional Employment and Business Opportunity Monitoring Reports; and CER Condition 58 - Training and Education Monitoring Reports).
- Individual numbers may be rounded to allow for totals to add up.
- For the threshold analysis for Indicator 1, the estimate of non-local/regional workers estimated in the WAS finished in May 2020. Therefore, as of June 2020 the threshold analysis for Indicator 1 is N/A.
- Indicator 5 includes forecast from active Construction Contractors.
- Data may be subject to revisions based on updates from Contractors or ongoing quality reviews.

Notes

1. For the threshold analysis for Indicator 7, the average workers transported from staging areas per day (506) is approximately 56% of the average workers on site per day (911).