## **Trans Mountain Expansion Project**

# Socio-Economic Monitoring Report - Fraser Valley Region July to September 2021



#### Introduction

This report presents the quarterly socio-economic monitoring results for the Fraser Valley Region related to construction of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (TMEP or the Project). The monitoring approach and indicators are discussed in detail in the TMEP Socio-economic monitoring results for the Project (TMEP or the Project). Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (SEEMP) approved by the Canadian Energy Regulator (CER) pursuant to CER Condition 13 (see link below). This report presents the Project-specific indicators; these are data that are directly attributable to the Project, reflect the Project's contribution to socio-economic outcomes, and help pinpoint Project actions that may require change. Please refer to Annex 1 - Socio-Economic Context, Coquihalla Hope for the regional context indicators that reflect the broader socio-economic conditions in which Project construction is occurring.

Trans Mountain's first priority has and will always be the health and safety of our workforce, their families and our communities. In response to the evolving COVID-19 pandemic, Trans Mountain and our construction contractors for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project have been working diligently together to ensure adherence to all advice and direction from government and health officials both provincially and federally.

Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (CER Condition 13)

(the above links to the CER website where the SEEMP is filed)

For more information contact: info@transmountain.com or 1-866-514-6700

### **Project-Specific Indicators**

### **Quantitative Indicators**

SEEMP Indicator No.	Indicator	Monthly Total			Quarterly Count	% (where	Threshold Triggered?	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken	
		Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21		applicable)	(Y/N)		
N/A	Total calendar days of construction site work during the reporting cycle (may involve select site activity during safety shut down)	30	24	24	78	N/A		21/0	
	Workers on site per day (average)	44	31	90	54	N/A	N/A		
1	lumber of worker-days in field (categorized in two ways: by geographic origin, and also by Indigenous v. non-Indigenous status)								
-	Threshold for Action: Number of non-local/regional workers by region/spread is +/- 20% different than estimated in Worker Accommodation Strategy CER Condition 59								
	Total Worker-days	1334	746	2157	4237	100%	Y	Indicator 5 (below) provides updated anticipated work force number (in worker days) in this region for the next business quarter. These numbers are made available through notification of the online posting of this Report to municipal or regional government authorities, health authorities, social services, police, hotel / tourism associations and chambers of commerce.	
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional residents	1070	487	1468	3025	71%			
	b) Worker-days, Other (workers not Local or Regional residents)	264	259	689	1212	29%			
	c) Indigenous	160	135	230	525	12%			
	d) Non-Indigenous	1174	611	1927	3712	88%			
2	Number of worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers)								
-	Threshold for Action: Less than 75% of non-local/regional workers are staying in camps and qualitative feed	back received abo	ut adverse issue or	concern from loca	l authority or touris	sm /hotel asso	ociation represent	ative.	
	Total Worker-days	1334	746	2157	4237	100%	N	N/A	
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home	1253	623	1523	3399	80%			
	b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)	81	123	634	838	20%	N		
	c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps	0	0	0	0	0%			
Living out allowance or related stipend 3									
	Threshold for Action: An increase in the number of worker-days paid (indicator 3a) from the previous reporting	ng cycle <b>and</b> any q	ualitative feedback	received about ac	lverse issues from l	ocal authoriti	es or tourism /hot	tel associations.	
	a) Number of worker-days paid	78	134	642	854	N/A	N	N/A	
	b) Total value (\$)	\$ 82,379	\$ 96,873	\$ 100,468	\$ 279,720	N/A			
5	Number of worker-days, in field - anticipated in next business quarter Threshold for Action: N/A - this is a forward indicator to assist stakeholders and Indigenous groups in community readiness.								
	a) October 2021	N/A			3627	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	b) November 2021				2373	N/A			
	c) December 2021				1830	N/A			

SEEMP Indicator No.	. Indicator		Monthly Total			% (where	Threshold Triggered?	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken				
			Aug-21	Sep-21		applicable)	(Y/N)					
6	Number of motor vehicle collisions or reportable incidents that involve Project vehicles on public roads during work hours or Contractor-owned vehicles during off-work hours  Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold. All incidents/accidents will be investigated.											
	Total	0	0	0	0	N/A						
							N/A	N/A				
	a) Number involving injuries	0	0	0	0	N/A						
	b) Number involving fatalities	0	0	0	0	N/A						
7	Workers transported from staging areas per day (average)  Threshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a % of total workers on site (average during the month of the count) is less than 75%.											
	Mid-month count	94	68	67	76	N/A		N/A				
	End-month count	62	73	73	69	N/A	N					
	Average	78	71	70	73	N/A						
8	Personal vehicles in staging area per day (average)  Threshold for Action: Number is 10% or more of AADT values at locations nearest to staging yards and qualitative feedback received about adverse traffic volume issues via indicators #11 and #12.											
	Mid-month count	100	100	125	108	N/A		N/A				
	End-month count	95	97	126	106	N/A	N					
	Average	97.5	99	126	107	N/A						
	Number of times a "captive" Project worker was referred to a local health facility or required emergency m	edical transport ('	'Captive" workers	= workers on a Pr	oject premises, i.e	., in camp or	on work-site)					
9	Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback from local health authority regarding capacity concerns.											
	a) Total number of times a worker was referred or sent to local health facilities	0	1	1	2	N/A		N/A				
	i. urgent	0	1	0	1	N/A						
	ii. non-urgent	0	0	1	1	N/A						
	iii. occupational	0	1	0	1	N/A	N					
	iv. non-occupational	0	0	1	1	N/A						
	b) Total number of times a worker required ambulance or other emergency transport	0	0	0	0	N/A						
10	Number of medical visits to Project on-site medical facilities											
Threshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a percentage of average number of workers per month rises from the previous reporting cycle.												
	Total	6	2	1	9	N/A		N/A				
	a) occupational	6	0	0	6	N/A	N					
	b) non-occupational	0	2	1	3	N/A						
14	Number of people affected by a notifiable disease in a work camp  Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold; all notifiable diseases will be reported to the local health authority											
	Total	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A				
			l	l								

#### **Qualitative Indicators**

SEEMP Indicator No.		Threshold Triggered? Y/N	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken							
4	Qualitative feedback about Project use of local/regional commercial accommodation (e.g., hotels, motels, campgrounds)  Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities, tourism/hotel associations or hotels used by Contractor.									
	None									
11	Number and types of calls/complaints received through TMEP feedback line (about construction-related social impacts)  Threshold for Action: There is no threshold for action as all grievances will be responded to.									
	Month	Number, originating from the region	Common Socio-Economic Impact Themes During the Quarter	Trans Mountain Response		N/A				
	Jul-21	Total: 1 % Indigenous: 0 % Non Indigenous: 100	Worker Conduct - concern regarding after-hours conduct of a specific worker with respect to drug and alcohol use, and fit for duty	Trans Mountain has zero tolerance for use of, or being under influence of, drugs and alcohol in g the workplace. Workers must come to work fit for duty and know and follow requirements of Trans Mountain's Drug and Alcohol Policy. Trans Mountain Security was notified for follow-up and further investigaton.	N/A					
	Aug-21	Total: 0 % Indigenous: 0 % Non Indigenous: 0	implications.							
	Sep-21	Total: 0 % Indigenous: 0 % Non Indigenous: 0								
12	Qualitative feedback themes about community concerns and experienced social effects  Threshold for Action: Adverse socio-economic issue or concern from an appropriate government authority or service provider or community organization.									
	None		N	N/A						
Qualitative feedback themes about effects on the quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest resources, within the Project footprint  Threshold for Action: Qualitative from Indigenous group leader/administrator regarding reports of adverse effects on quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest their resources or a within the Project footprint.										
	None	N	N/A							

## **Methodology and Notes**

- Information in this report was collected through internal sources including labour and incident data from Contractors, TMEP feedback line data, and the review of stakeholder and Indigenous engagement records. Data reflects activity related to TMEP construction only.
- A worker-day is defined as 10 hours of work, by a construction Contractor on-site or in an on-site office.
- The monthly data cut-off is typically the last Saturday of each month; this reporting cycle was June 27 to Semtember 25, 2021.
- Thresholds are internally assessed on a monthly basis and are considered triggered if a threshold is exceeded for any given month within each quarterly reporting cycle.
- The threshold analysis of Indicator 1 utilizes the estimate of non-local/regional workers estimated in the Worker Accommodation Strategy (WAS) CER Condition 59, based on the corresponding month of construction in an ordered manner. For example, Month 1 predicted in the WAS is compared to Month 1 actual.
- Indicator 11 tallies feedback line calls/queries related to actual socio-economic impact topics related to TMEP construction activity. Socio-economic topics include: access limitations; road closures; business impacts; pressure on housing/accommodations; burden on infrastructure/services; sensory disturbance; traffic and driving; worker conduct; traditional use and cultural sites.
- Employment and business opportunity and training indicators are monitored and reported through separate processes, as per distinct CER Conditions (CER Condition 107 Aboriginal, Local and Regional Employment and Business Opportunity Monitoring Reports; and CER Condition 58 Training and Education Monitoring Reports).
- Individual numbers may be rounded to allow for totals to add up.
- Indicator 5 includes active Construction contractors only.
- Data may be subject to revisions based on updates from Contractors or ongoing quality reviews.