## **Trans Mountain Expansion Project**

# Socio-Economic Monitoring Report - Lower Mainland Region July to September 2020



#### Introduction

This report presents the quarterly socio-economic monitoring results for the Lower Mainland Region related to construction of the Trans Mountain Expansion Project (TMEP or the Project). This data is inclusive of the General Construction Contractor workforce data for Sumas Terminal. The monitoring approach and indicators are discussed in detail in the TMEP Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (SEEMP) approved by the Canadian Energy Regulator (CER) pursuant to CER Condition 13 (see link below). This report presents the Project-specific indicators; these are data that are directly attributable to the Project, reflect the Project's contribution to socio-economic outcomes, and help pinpoint Project actions that may require change. Please refer to Annex 1 - Socio-Economic Context, Lower Mainland for the regional context indicators that reflect the broader socio-economic conditions in which Project construction is occurring.

Trans Mountain's first priority has and will always be the health and safety of our workforce, their families and our communities. In response to the evolving COVID-19 pandemic, Trans Mountain and our construction contractors for the Trans Mountain Expansion Project have been working diligently together to ensure adherence to all advice and direction from government and health officials both provincially and federally.

Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan (CER Condition 13) (link to the CER website where the SEEMP is filed)

For more information contact: info@transmountain.com or 1-866-514-6700

## **Project-Specific Indicators**

## **Quantitative Indicators**

SEEMP Indicator No.	Indicator	Monthly Total			Quarterly Count	%	Threshold Triggered?	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken	
	indicator		Aug-20	Sep-20	(where applicable)		(Y/N)		
N/A	Total calendar days of construction work during the reporting cycle	28	35	28	91	N/A	N/A		
	Workers on site per day (average)	692	674	699	687	N/A			
1	Number of worker-days in field (categorized in two ways: by geographic origin, and also by Indigenous v. non-Indigenous status)  Threshold for Action: Number of non-local/regional workers by region/spread is +/- 20% different than estimated in Worker Accommodation Strategy CER Condition 59								
	Total Worker-days	19384	23587	19563	62534	100%		N/A	
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional residents	14299	17133	14263	45695	73%	N/A		
	b) Worker-days, Other (workers not Local or Regional residents)	5085	6454	5300	16839	27%			
	c) Indigenous	1003	3207	908	5118	8%			
	d) Non-Indigenous	18381	20380	18655	57416	92%			
2	Number of worker-days in field (categorized by accommodation arrangements of the workers)  Threshold for Action: Less than 75% of non-local/regional workers are staying in camps and qualitative feedback received about adverse issue or concern from local authority or tourism /hotel association representative.								
	Total Worker-days	19384	23587	19563	62534	100%	. N	N/A	
	a) Worker-days, Local or Regional resident, residing at home	15911	18982	15885	50778	81%			
	b) Worker-days, not Local or Regional, not staying in TMEP camps (i.e., staying in local rental, hotel)	3473	4605	3678	11756	19%	N.		
	c) Worker days, not Local or Regional resident, staying in TMEP camps	0	0	0	0	0%			
3	Living out allowance or related stipend  Threshold for Action: An increase in the number of worker-days paid (indicator 3a) from the previous reporting cycle and any qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities or tourism /hotel associations.								
	a) Number of worker-days paid	2670	3085	2503	8258	N/A	N	N/A	
	b) Total value (\$)	\$ 558,775	\$ 777,785	\$ 674,471	\$ 2,011,031	N/A			
5	Number of worker-days, in field - anticipated in next business quarter  Threshold for Action: N/A - this is a forward indicator to assist stakeholders and Indigenous groups in community readiness.								
	a) July 2020			33777	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	b) August 2020	N/A			29703			N/A	
	c) Sept 2020				26612			N/A	

SEEMP Indicator No.	. Indicator	Monthly Total		Quarterly Count	% (where applicable)	Threshold Triggered?	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken			
		Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20		(where applicable)	(Y/N)			
6	Number of motor vehicle collisions or reportable incidents that involve Project vehicles on public roads during work hours or Contractor-owned vehicles during off-work hours  **Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold. All incidents/accidents will be investigated.**									
	Total	1	0	0	1	N/A		N/A		
	al Museker in Johing Injuries	0			0		N/A			
	a) Number involving injuries		0	0	-	N/A				
	b) Number involving fatalities	0	0	0	0	N/A				
7	Workers transported from staging areas per day (average)  Threshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a % of total workers on site (average during the month of the count) is less than 75%.									
	Mid-month count	382	441	470	431	N/A		The Contractor confirmed that craft and subcontracted workers are shuttled to and from the worksites via bus.		
	End-month count	258	404	524	395	N/A	Y (see Note 1)			
	Average	320	423	497	413	N/A				
8	Personal vehicles in staging area per day (average)  Threshold for Action: Number is 10% or more of AADT values at locations nearest to staging yards and qualitative feedback received about adverse traffic volume issues via indicators #11 and #12.									
	Mid-month count	418	450	155	341	N/A				
	End-month count	409	465	165	346	N/A	N	N/A		
	Average	414	458	160	344	N/A				
	Number of times a "captive" Project worker was referred to a local health facility or required emergency medical transport ("Captive" workers en a Project premises, i.e., in camp or on work-site)									
9	Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback from local health authority regarding capacity concerns.									
	a) Total number of times a worker was referred or sent to local health facilities	14	1	3	18	100%	- N	N/A		
	i. urgent	0	0	0	0	0%				
	ii. non-urgent	14	1	3	18	100%				
	iii. occupational	3	0	1	4	22%				
	iv. non-occupational	11	1	2	14	78%				
	b) Total number of times a worker required ambulance or other emergency transport	1	0	0	1	N/A				
10	Number of medical visits to Project on-site medical facilities	<u> </u>		<u> </u>						
	Threshold for Action: Number, when calculated as a percentage of average number of workers per month rises from the previous reporting cycle.									
	Total	119	93	116	328	100%	Υ	On-site medical services are adequate to support Project needs. The Contractor adapted its on site medical services to respond to the extra precautions related to COVID-19.		
	a) occupational	33	21	38	92	28%				
	b) non-occupational	86	72	78	236	72%				
14	Number of people affected by a notifiable disease in a work camp  Threshold for Action: No minimum threshold; all notifiable diseases will be reported to the local health autho	rity								
	Total	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

#### **Qualitative Indicators**

SEEMP Indicator No.		Indicator	Threshold Triggered? Y/N	If Threshold Triggered, Action Taken					
4	Qualitative feedback about Project use of local/regional commercial accommodation (e.g., hotels, motels, campgrounds)								
4	Threshold for Action: Qualitative feedback received about adverse issues from local authorities, tourism/hotel associations or hotels used by Contractor.								
	None		N	N/A					
11	Number and types of calls/complaints received through TMEP feedback line (about construction-related social impacts)								
	Threshold for Action: There is no threshold for act								
	Month	Number, originating from the region	Common Socio-Economic Impact Themes	N/A	N/A				
	Jul-20	Total: 2							
		% Indigenous: 0	7						
		% Non Indigenous: 100	Traffic - Project-related truck traffic, speeding and flagger improperly directing traffic at						
	Aug-20	Total: 10	Burnaby Terminal; dangerous driving at Westridge Marine Terminal						
		% Indigenous: 0	Sensory disturbance - light from Westridge Marine Terminal and Burnaby Terminal; dust						
		% Non Indigenous: 100	and noise from traffic and construction at Burnaby Terminal, Westridge Marine Terminal						
	Sep-20	Total: 3	and Shellmont Drive						
		% Indigenous: 0							
		% Non Indigenous: 100							
12	Qualitative feedback themes about community concerns and experienced social effects  Threshold for Action: Adverse socio-economic issue or concern from an appropriate government authority or service provider or community organization.								
	Theme	Impact Overview	Trans Mountain Response						
	None		N	N/A					
13	Qualitative feedback themes about effects on the quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest resources, within the Project footprint  Threshold for Action: Qualitative from Indigenous group leader/administrator regarding reports of adverse effects on quality and abundance of traditional harvesting resources, or ability of Indigenous people to harvest their resources or access cultural sites, within the Project footprint.								
	None		N	N/A					

## **Methodology and Notes**

- Information in this report was collected through internal sources including labour and incident data from Contractors, TMEP f eedback line data, and the review of stakeholder and Aboriginal engagement records. Data reflects activity related to TMEP con struction only.
- A worker-day is defined as 10 hours of work, by a construction Contractor on-site or in an on-site office.
- The monthly data cut-off is typically the last Saturday of each month; this reporting cycle was June 28, 2020 to September 26, 2020.
- Thresholds are internally assessed on a monthly basis and are considered triggered if a threshold is exceeded for any given month within each quarterly reporting cycle.
- The threshold analysis of Indicator 1 utilizes the estimate of non-local/regional workers estimated in the Worker Accommodation Strategy (WAS) CER Condition 59, based on the corresponding month of construction in an ordered manner. For example, Month 1 predicted in the WAS is compared to Month 1 actual.
- Indicator 11 tallies feedback line calls/queries related to socio-economic impact topics related to TMEP construction activity. Socio-economic topics include: access limitations; road closures; business impacts; pressure on housing/accommodations; burd en on infrastructure/services; sensory disturbance; traffic and driving; worker conduct; traditional use and cultural sites.
- Employment and business opportunity and training indicators are monitored and reported through separate processes, as per distinct CER Conditions (CER Condition 107 Aboriginal, Local and Regional Employment and Business Opportunity Monitoring Reports; and CER Condition 58 Training and Education Monitoring Reports).
- Individual numbers may be rounded to allow for totals to add up.
- For the threshold analysis for Indicator 1, the estimate of non-local/regional workers estimated in the WAS finished in May 2020. Therefore, as of June 2020 the threshold analysis for Indicator 1 is N/A.
- Indicator 5 includes active Construction contractors only.
- Data may be subject to revisions based on updates from Contractors or ongoing quality reviews.

#### Notes

1. For the threshold analysis for Indicator 7, the average workers transported from staging areas per day (413) is approximately 60% of the average workers on site per day (687).